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Introduction

Under the impact of the new social history of medicine, the range of topics and approaches in the history of homoeopathy and other types of 'alternative' medicine has widened greatly in recent years. Professionalisation and institutionalisation, conflict and identity, and the markets for books and remedies are just some of the issues which have come to complement traditional (and sometimes rather partisan) research on the founders and major protagonists of individual alternative healing systems, their works and ideas, and their struggles with the medical establishment.¹ Somewhat ironically, however, just like their conventional counterparts, histories of alternative medicine have largely neglected ordinary practice, the everyday reality of the majority of healers and sufferers who put their trust in one particular healing system. Thus, we still know very little about the relative importance and the uses of the various alternative healing systems in the health care of the general population.

These are the kinds of issues I want to approach in this paper. It is based primarily on a series of statistical surveys of all irregular healers in late nineteenth-century Bavaria. This somewhat unusual source owes its existence to a new law, which removed almost all medical licensing
regulations throughout the German Empire. From 1873 onward, any man or woman in Bavaria, whatever his or her medical training and with or without a licence, could undertake any kind of medical cure for a fee. Previously, the Bavarian health administration had acted even against those unauthorised healers who cured patients free of charge. Now, for the first time in Bavarian history, the medical market was essentially free, subject to the forces of supply and demand. Only the distribution of medicines remained the privilege of licensed pharmacists.

The law had the support of the leading physicians in the new imperial capital, Berlin. But in Bavaria many physicians complained about the new, undesirable competition, which they feared would threaten their very professional existence. In this situation, the Bavarian government, which preserved a large degree of political and administrative autonomy within the German Empire, did what most governments would do today: it ordered a survey. From 1873 until 1895, year after year, every district physician in each of the about 250 administrative districts in the kingdom was required to collect data on the medical activities of all irregular healers in his district, including those licensed barber surgeons, midwives and pharmacists who overstepped the very narrow limits of authorised practice which had previously been in force. Based on their personal knowledge and on the information of other local physicians, the state-employed district physicians compiled lists which generally included the name, age, place of residence and profession of the healers, as well as the kind of medical activity they undertook. Additional information was sometimes added on their popularity, the profitability of their practice or on court proceedings against them.

A summary of the major statistical results from these surveys was published annually in the leading Bavarian medical journal. Fortunately, the original statistics have also almost completely survived with only a few losses, and they can be consulted today in seven large volumes containing about 32,000 entries on individual healers and some supplementary documentation. Undoubtedly these surveys, and especially the statistical figures extracted from them, should be treated with caution. Not all district physicians were equally conscientious in their task, and in some cases the figures for a specific district dropped or rose sharply when a new incumbent took over. In addition, the extent to which individual healers practised medicine varied widely from very occasional help among friends and neighbours to a highly profitable practice, and the physicians lacked specific instructions as to how extensive the unlicensed medical practice had to be to warrant an entry. The central health authorities were convinced, especially during the first years, that the actual number of irregular healers was much
higher than was reported. And later, when the more active healers were generally well known, there still must have been countless others who treated patients only occasionally and/or took no money and were thus not reported.

Due particularly to the additional qualitative information on individual healers, these surveys nonetheless offer some unique insights into the role of alternative medicine in the ordinary health care of the wider population beyond the narrow realm of the educated elites. And, in a largely free medical market, the relative importance of the various alternative healing systems in the medical market can serve in turn as a rough measure of their respective appeal to the wider population, though it should be added that the various healing systems differed in the degree to which they allowed easy self-medication without any need for a healer, as well as in the way in which they were affected by the laws against distributing drugs, which remained in force.

The Medical Market in Bavaria before 1873

Until German unification in 1870/71, Bavaria was an independent kingdom, second in size only to Prussia among the German states. Throughout the nineteenth century, its economy was largely based on agriculture. The population grew relatively slowly, from 3.7 million in 1818 to 4.8 million in 1864 and 6.1 million in 1900. Industrialisation on a wider scale took off only gradually in the late nineteenth century and was largely limited to a few major centres such as Nuremberg, Augsburg and Munich. Urbanisation was slow, too, and by 1900 the population was still predominantly rural. The majority of the population was Catholic, and Catholicism remained a dominant political and cultural force, except for a brief spell of enlightened radicalism and anticlericalism in the early nineteenth century.

The Bavarian health care system underwent profound changes in the course of the nineteenth century. In 1807 the 106 academic physicians in the kingdom made up only a small and largely urban minority among all healers. Responsibility for the provision of official health care rested primarily with the barber surgeons, who had acquired their knowledge in an apprenticeship or, from 1808, in schools especially designed to train semi-academic healers for the medical care of the less affluent. In addition, an unspecified but, according to many contemporary accounts, very large number of lay healers offered their services – some occasionally to relatives and friends, others more or less professionally – sometimes attracting clients from far away.
Academic physicians, barber surgeons and many lay healers alike relied on blood-letting, laxatives and emetics and various herbal, animal and mineral drugs. The therapeutic range among non-academic healers was wider, however, than among physicians. Many of them administered secret remedies and alleged ‘specifics’, which they obtained from drug peddlers, shops or pharmacies. Indeed, for all we know, the Königsee water, Kiesow’s essence, Redlinger’s, Lang’s and Morison’s pills, Schau’s, Schmidhammer’s and Kriegshaber’s balsam, and the like may have played a much more important role in the treatment of diseases than all the physicians’ prescriptions taken together.\textsuperscript{11} In addition, there were hundreds, if not thousands, of ‘wise’ men and women, who used sympathetic or magical healing. They ‘prayed’, ‘stroked’ or ‘blew’ diseases away. They handed out amulets and so-called Gichtzetel, pieces of paper with sacred inscriptions against convulsions, to be hung around the neck or swallowed. They undertook complex healing rituals like the Kopfmessen, the repeated measuring of the head and its circumference as a cure against headache, or the magical transfer of diseases on animals and plants, and they exorcized demons.\textsuperscript{12}

In the course of the nineteenth century, thanks primarily to their dominant position in the Bavarian health administration, the academic physicians increasingly succeeded in driving their competitors out of the medical market. New legislation severely limited the medical activities of the barber surgeons, restricting them essentially to shaving, cupping, blood-letting and the treatment of simple, external diseases, and making their economic survival increasingly difficult. At the same time, the number of academic physicians began to grow, and the government took measures to distribute them more evenly across the territory. In 1803 and 1806, some 250 salaried positions as district physicians were created and gradually filled. Their incumbents had various forensic and administrative duties, but they were also expected to practice privately. From 1834, in an attempt to further improve rural health care, newly licensed physicians could no longer freely choose their place of residence, but had to apply for a vacant seat wherever a physician was needed. In the process the medical services of academic physicians came more and more within reach of the rural majority. At the same time, the illegal medical activities or transgressions of local lay healers and barber surgeons were subject to increasingly tighter local control, even though a wall of silence and solidarity often made successful prosecution difficult, according to the complaints of many physicians.

Among the new, alternative systems of medicine, homoeopathy first began to attract attention in the 1820s, and it turned into a serious challenge
in the 1830s, especially after the first cholera wave. Leading members of the medical profession, including Franz Nepomuk von Ringseis, the most powerful man in the health administration, were sympathetic or outright enthusiastic. From the 1830s to the late 1860s, homoeopathy was continually taught by at least one member of the medical faculty in Munich. In 1851, Joseph Benedict Buchner was even granted an honorary professorship in homoeopathy. A government survey in 1833 already listed 18 homoeopathic physicians in 13 Bavarian towns. Their number peaked at about 60 in the late 1850s, when approximately four per cent of all academic physicians in Bavaria practiced homoeopathy. Initially, some barber surgeons also began to resort to homoeopathic treatments, but in 1837 this was forbidden. The extent of homoeopathic lay healing is more difficult to establish, but it seems to have been fairly limited in this period. In the area around Osterwargau, south of Munich, Max von Schenk, a priest, established a very extensive homoeopathic practice, but there are only occasional references to homoeopathic lay healers in other places, usually among members of the clergy.

Other types of alternative medicine were less successful than homoeopathy although, at first sight, conditions seemed equally favourable. In 1832 a Bavarian high school teacher, Eucharius Ferdinand Christian Oertel, founded the first hydropathic lay organisation in Germany, the *Hydropathischer Gesundheitsverein für ganz Deutschland*. In the 1840s Vincenz Priebnitz’s cures in Gräfenberg aroused considerable interest among the medical profession in Bavaria. Several physicians travelled to Gräfenberg to acquaint themselves personally with Priebnitz’s system, which was based primarily on cold water and diet. In the 1850s, Dr Lorenz Gleich in Munich coined the German term *Naturheilkunde*, which is still widely used today to denote natural healing. But, in the end, few academic physicians adopted the new approaches, and even fewer were prepared to rely exclusively on them—except for those who owned one of the few hydropathic or naturopathic establishments or were employed there. Homoeopathic physicians, above all, seem to have perceived hydropathy and natural healing as useful supplements to their own method. But, as Gleich complained bitterly, they still relied primarily on their homoeopathic remedies.

The *Erfahrungsheilkunde* or ‘experiential medicine’ propagated by Johann Gottfried Rademacher in the 1840s and 1850s did not, for all we know, fare much better. Like Hahnemann, Rademacher campaigned against what he saw as a harmful abuse of blood-letting, laxatives and emetics. From experience and drawing on Paracelsian ideas, he claimed to have found drugs...
Table 1. Irregular healers in Bavaria (1874–94)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Irregular healers</th>
<th>Lay healers</th>
<th>Baunschmidt</th>
<th>Electro-homoeopathy</th>
<th>Homoeopathy</th>
<th>Hydropathy nat. healing</th>
<th>Magnetic healing</th>
<th>Sympathy secret remedies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1874</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>681</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1875</td>
<td>1262</td>
<td>755</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1876</td>
<td>1396</td>
<td>858</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1877</td>
<td>1563</td>
<td>946</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1878</td>
<td>1814</td>
<td>1130</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1879</td>
<td>1639</td>
<td>1034</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1880</td>
<td>1630</td>
<td>969</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1881</td>
<td>1499</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1882</td>
<td>1477</td>
<td>947</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1883</td>
<td>1485</td>
<td>938</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1884</td>
<td>1356</td>
<td>839</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1885</td>
<td>1406</td>
<td>834</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1886</td>
<td>1349</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1887</td>
<td>1313</td>
<td>745</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1888</td>
<td>1271</td>
<td>754</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1889</td>
<td>1216</td>
<td>692</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1890</td>
<td>1170</td>
<td>684</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1891</td>
<td>1129</td>
<td>708</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1892</td>
<td>1184</td>
<td>748</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1893</td>
<td>1152</td>
<td>738</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1894</td>
<td>1168</td>
<td>729</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

instead which possessed specific curative properties, some against the diseases of the individual organs and others against the universal diseases, which, according to Rademacher, affected the organism as a whole. Rademacher's Rechtfertigung der von den Gelehrten mißkanten, verstandesrechten Erfahrungslehre der alten scheidkünstigen Gelehrten (1843) went through several editions and was translated into Dutch and Russian.24 There are hints that a few Bavarian physicians may occasionally have used Rademacher's remedies25 and at least one leading Bavarian homoeopath, Eduard von Grauvogl, sought to adapt some of Rademacher's ideas to his own system.26 I have found no Bavarian physician, however, who relied exclusively on it. Similarly, among physicians and lay healers alike, there are only very isolated references to any practitioners of magnetic healing, galvanism or Baunscheidtism (see below) before 1870.

A Free Field: the Bavarian Medical Market after 1873

Among the Bavarian medical profession, the appeal of alternative healing and of homoeopathy in particular declined in the late nineteenth century. Based on more sophisticated statistical and experimental approaches and a rapid expansion of empirical, physiological and pathological knowledge, the medical profession successfully fashioned itself as a vanguard of scientific progress. Although, in hindsight, there was little therapeutic progress, previous scepticism and doubts within the profession as to the validity of accepted concepts and therapies gave way to a new mood of confidence. Especially among younger physicians, even homoeopathy, the most successful alternative healing system to date, lost much of its already limited appeal. By 1875 there were only 36 homoeopathic physicians left, many of them in the larger cities and most of them elderly.27 In the following years, their number declined further, and there is no indication that the other alternative healing systems found any significant following among the medical profession either.

If we look at the activities of irregular healers, however, a rather different picture emerges.28 According to the national survey of irregular healers, by 1877, six years after the lifting of licensing regulations, 169 (10.8 per cent) of 1,563 irregular healers used one of the new alternative healing systems (see Table 1). The figure includes some barber surgeons, midwives and pharmacists who before 1873 had generally not been allowed to treat internal diseases. Since only a small minority of them practised alternative medicine, the proportion of alternative healers among the 946 lay healers in the strict sense of the word, was even higher, at about 14 per cent.29 The
irregular healers far outnumbered the approximately 40 to 50 followers of alternative healing systems among the 1,547 academic physicians. In numerical terms the irregular healers, and not the physicians, were the main suppliers of alternative medical treatment in the kingdom.

The number of irregular healers listed in the surveys reached a maximum of 1,814 (including 1,130 lay healers) in 1878 and then gradually fell to about 1,350 in the late 1880s and to 1,150 in the early 1890s. Whether this decline was due primarily to the further increasing number of academic physicians and to the establishment of a national health insurance scheme in 1883, which favoured academic physicians, or to a deeper, cultural change, can not at present be determined. The number of irregular healers practising alternative healing systems peaked slightly later at 188 (12.7 per cent) in 1882 and then also declined, when a 50 per cent decrease among the homoeopathic healers was only in part compensated by the rise of other healing systems. Still, due to the decline in overall numbers, the proportion of alternative healers among all irregular healers remained about 10 per cent and rose to 12.6 per cent again in 1894. The proportion among the lay healers without any formal medical training was correspondingly higher.

Among the new alternative healing systems, homoeopathy was by far the most important throughout the whole period from 1873 to 1895. The number of irregular healers who treated patients with homoeopathic remedies rose from 106 in 1874 to a maximum of 164, 19 of whom were

| Table 2. Occupational background of irregular homeopathic healers in Bavaria, 1873–95 (n = 420)* |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| clergy                           | 131                  | foresters            | 5                   |
| farmers                          | 70                   | factory workers      | 4                   |
| craftsmen                        | 55                   | railway employees    | 4                   |
| barber surgeons                  | 52                   | vets                 | 4                   |
| teachers                         | 19                   | pharmacists          | 2                   |
| privateers etc.                  | 17                   | knackers             | 2                   |
| merchants, shop owners           | 10                   | others               | 16                  |
| midwives                         | 6                    | no information given | 23                  |

*The tables are derived from the manuscript lists (based on HStAM Minh 61355–61) for six selected sample years (1873, 1878, 1882, 1886, 1893 and 1895). Since some healers who were active only for a short time between two of these years may thus have been overlooked, the absolute figures for the whole period presumably would be slightly higher. Wives, widows and daughters (of craftsmen etc.) have been assigned to the respective profession of their husband or father; ‘privateers’ includes members of the aristocracy, house and estate owners as well as one factory owner; ‘merchants’ includes small shop owners.
women, in 1879. By 1883 the figure had declined to 142, and decreased dramatically in the late 1880s to 90 in 1888 and 84 in 1894. They were spread fairly evenly across the territory. Differences in their density in the various Kreise were largely parallel to differences in the overall numbers of irregular healers, with Swabia and Upper Bavaria quite consistently on the higher side and Upper and Lower Franconia on the lower. A few local clusters can be found, however. They were due, it seems, to the activities of particularly successful or charismatic healers. In the single district of Miesbach, for example, there were 10 homoeopathic healers in 1877. This was the area where Max von Schenk had established a very successful homoeopathic practice as early as the 1850s.

The most important professional group among the irregular homoeopathic healers consisted of priests and other members of the clergy. Of 420 irregular homoeopathic healers identified on the basis of six sample years between 1873 and 1895, 131 were members of the clergy (see Table 2). In 1873, they made up more than half of all irregular homoeopathic healers and about two thirds of the homoeopathic lay healers in the strict sense. Over the following years, that proportion declined, presumably due to the massive campaign which, supported by the health administration, Catholic and Protestant church authorities mounted against the medical activities of the clergy and which partially helps explain the overall decline of homoeopathy among lay healers. Farmers were the second most important group, with 70 out of 420, including six farmer’s wives. Most of them were in their 50s and 60s. Several of them were described as the most sought-after healers in their district, and one Abraham Wild in the district of Kusel was even said to have become a rich man thanks to his healing activities. Craftsmen like millers and blacksmiths and their wives or widows were the next big group (55). Some of them lived and worked in larger towns, such as Nördlingen, Günzburg or Kemnath, but most were found in rural areas. They were followed by the relatively small group (52) of homoeopathic healers among the many barber surgeons who treated internal diseases without formal training, and by teachers (19).

Before 1880 the second most popular alternative healing system among the irregular healers was Baunschheidism. The method was created in 1848 by Carl Baunschmidt (1809–73), a mechanic and inventor in Endenich near Bonn. Baunschmidt’s method relied primarily on the curative effects of the so-called Dermabioticon or Lebenswecker (‘vitaliser’), which Baunschmidt had designed. This was a long-handled tool with about two dozen thin needles on its tip. It was applied to the skin in such a way that the needles penetrated the skin only superficially. The site was then rubbed with a
mixture of irritating oils designed to produce a strong rash. Baunscheidt developed his method after a personal experience with the curative effects of multiple mosquito bites on his arthritic hand and, according to family legend, after a missionary from the Far East had told him about acupuncture.\textsuperscript{35} Along with homoeopathy and natural healing, Baunscheidtism shared a strong aversion to the prevailing ‘mechanist’ trends in contemporary academic medicine and a reliance on the life force and natural healing power of the living human body. According to Baunscheidt, the curative effect of the Lebenswecker was based primarily on the attraction and consequent evacuation of morbid matter towards the skin. In some diseases the Lebenswecker, as its name implied, was said to work by stimulating the vital force in the body.

In Northern Germany and Prussia Baunscheidtism seems to have gained considerable popularity in the 1850s and 1860s, and Baunscheidt died a rich man. First published in 1851, his book was in its sixth edition in 1858, enriched with innumerable case histories and testimonials.\textsuperscript{36} A periodical, the Archiv für Baunscheidtismus was also published.\textsuperscript{37} In France Dr Sermet propagated a very similar system under the name stylopathie.\textsuperscript{38} According to the Bavarian surveys, Baunscheidtism did not achieve the popularity of homoeopathy among Bavarian lay healers. The number of irregular Baunscheidtists in Bavaria peaked at 14 in 1878 and fell to just a handful after 1883, although it should be added that the system was particularly amenable to self-medication, once a Lebenswecker had been acquired for the rather substantial sum of four taler.\textsuperscript{39}

As in the case of homoeopathy, a couple of clergymen adopted the new method, and one of them even provided in-patient treatment in his own home.\textsuperscript{40} A Methodist missionary of the United Brethren in Christ also resorted to the method after his return from the United States, claiming he was moved only by Christian love and humanitarianism.\textsuperscript{41} By far the largest professional group among irregular Baunscheidtists consisted of craftsmen, however, suggesting that Baunscheidtism had a somewhat different social base than homoeopathy. Seven out of 22 irregular Baunscheidtist practitioners documented in selected years during the period (1873, 1878, 1882, 1886, 1892 and 1895) were millers, blacksmiths, carpenters and other craftsmen or their wives, many of them living in middle-sized towns. There were only two farmers.

In the medical market of the 1880s, Baunscheidtism was rapidly eclipsed by electro-homoeopathy. Founded by Count Cesare Mattei in Bologna, the method was based on fairly simple pathological principles. All diseases had their ultimate roots in a morbid alteration of blood or lymph,
and 25 different kinds of globuli and five kinds of fluids of different colour, the so-called *elettricità*, were considered sufficient for their treatment. The drugs were said to act specifically on certain organs, such as the chest and the vessels, or against certain diseases, such as fever, syphilis or cancer. From homoeopathy Mattei adapted the use of diluted medicines and globuli, but the medicines were not applied according to the principle of simile. They were directed against diseases, not chosen according to the characteristic symptoms they produced. Mattei never revealed the composition of his drugs. The term *elettricità* presumably served primarily to stress the modernity and the scientific status of Mattei's approach. It was variously said to refer either to the rapid curative effects of the drugs, or to some kind of electricity which was supposed to be 'fixed' in them.\(^{42}\)

After some initial interest, homoeopathic physicians quickly denounced the new system as just another fraudulent scheme to sell secret remedies.\(^{43}\) But the new method spread rapidly in the 1880s, especially in the southern parts of Germany, and by 1885 was described as 'very popular'.\(^{44}\) In Regensburg, an electro-homoeopathic lay association, the Consortium for Electro-homoeopathy, was founded under the auspices of the Countess Ernestine von Aufseß, and was reported to have more than 7,000 members by 1883.\(^{45}\) Translations of Mattei's treatises were published. The consortium helped make the electro-homoeopathic remedies accessible through a pharmacy in Regensburg and, with the support of the only known avowed electro-homoeopathic physician, a Dr Bachhammer in Regensburg, a little hospital was opened. The consortium also issued a biweekly journal, the *Elektrohomöopathische Blätter*, which spread news about the newest spectacular cures that had been performed. In Munich Peter Natili, a former monk, also established a flourishing business by selling Mattei's remedies, apparently at a huge profit. When Mattei withdrew his support, Natili declared himself the founder of a 'new electro-homoeopathy' and sold drugs of his own making. As the founder of an electro-homoeopathic women's association, Natili seems to have been particularly successful in gathering the support of upper-class ladies, and his 'St Joseph's Verein', an electro-homoeopathic home-visiting scheme, attracted women from all over Bavaria who wanted to help Natili without receiving any salary.\(^{46}\)

From 1881 onward, the number of irregular electro-homoeopaths reported in the annual surveys remained fairly constant at about a dozen, with a maximum of 14 in 1882. Their professional background was similar to that of the homoeopathic healers. Again the clergy played a dominant role, with nine out of 36 electro-homoeopaths identified at some time in the period from 1873 to 1895, but there were also four farmers, three teachers,
two barber surgeons, two craftsmen and two ladies from the aristocracy, the Countess of Aufseß and a Swiss baroness, Louise de Rougement, who had patients come to her castle and treated them personally with electro-homoeopathic remedies.\(^{47}\)

From about 1890 hydropathy and natural healing became increasingly popular among irregular healers in Bavaria. Previously only a couple of healers had resorted to these methods. The new healing system created by Sebastian Kneipp, a priest in Wörishofen (Swabia), played an important part in this change. Kneipp eclectically combined hydropathy, natural healing, herbal medicine and homoeopathy. As early as the 1870s, the local physician reported a steady influx of patients. At first, most patients were said to be members of the clergy who stayed in the local monastery,\(^{48}\) while the \textit{propheta in patria} found little esteem among the local villagers.\(^{49}\) In the 1890s, however, Kneipp attracted increasing numbers of patients, his method spread, and a virtual Kneipp movement arose.\(^{50}\) Today, Kneipp is still a household name in Germany, although one now primarily associated with cold water applications.

According to the 1894 survey, 38 out of 147 irregular healers in Bavaria used hydropathy, natural healing or Kneipp's system. But the figure, up from 18 in 1892, may reflect only the beginning of a further expansion in the early twentieth century. By 1907 there were 14 natural healers in Nuremberg alone,\(^{51}\) and in the socially and economically more dynamic Bavarian Palatinate, natural healing and Kneipp's system dominated almost totally by the mid-1890s.\(^{52}\) Naturopathic lay associations were formed in Ludwigshafen, Erlangen and other, mostly industrial, towns. By 1900 there were 12 associations, comprising more than 1,300 members, and others followed suit.\(^{53}\) Even members of the working class were reported to be avid readers of Bilz's classic on natural healing.\(^{54}\)

The occupational background of irregular practitioners of natural healing, Kneippianism or hydropathy was more varied than that of the homoeopaths. For 19 out of 56 members of this group identified in the period between 1873 and 1895, no profession was given at all, suggesting in some cases a full-time commitment to their healing activities; some of them, in fact, figure explicitly as owners of a hydropathic establishment. Nine of the remaining 37 healers were members of the clergy, including Sebastian Kneipp himself. Only one was a farmer, or rather an \textit{Ökonom}, which may suggest a fairly wealthy landowner. Eight had been trained in the crafts, among them Johann Braun, a former glass worker, who practised naturopathy as an itinerant healer. In addition, we find four barber surgeons, four merchants or shop-owners, a physician's widow, a former medical student
and a former construction designer.

On a smaller scale, mesmerism or magnetic healing seems to have encountered a fate similar to natural healing and hydropathy. There was little interest in the method among irregular healers before 1880, and the number who practised it only briefly rose to a maximum of 12 in 1892. Among them were Wilhelm Liebhaber, who founded a _heilmagnetische Anstalt_ in Upper Franconia, and Paul Schröder, the first president of the ‘Association of German Magnetopaths’, who then moved from Nuremberg to Leipzig. In the following years, magnetism’s appeal seems to have grown considerably. By 1907 there were 13 unlicensed magnetopaths in Nuremberg alone.57

Conclusions

Figures on the number of irregular healers are undoubtedly only a poor measure of the overall popularity of individual alternative healing systems in nineteenth-century health care, although possibly still one of the best available. Nevertheless the comprehensive quantitative and qualitative data from the Bavarian surveys allow some conclusions which could be of more general relevance to other European states as well and might even offer a provisional research agenda.

1. In a largely liberalised medical market, lay healers (supported by a much smaller number of barber surgeons, midwives and pharmacists) became significant suppliers of the various new alternative healing systems. Clearly, these groups deserve much greater attention than they have thus far been granted in the history of alternative healing. They were instrumental in making the new alternative healing systems accessible to the large majority of the population which, at least in nineteenth-century Bavaria, lived outside the few larger cities. Thanks to their social and cultural position in the parishes, the clerical lay healers in particular may well have played a major role in the dissemination of the new alternative concepts and practices among the population.

2. Much writing on the history of alternative medicine is based on the explicit or implicit assumption that there was a profound dichotomic opposition between the medical establishment, on the one hand, and the various types of alternative medicine on the other. The Bavarian case suggests that this may be too simple a view. Not only were there massive conflicts among the leading representatives of the various alternative healing systems, in particular homoeopathy and electro-homoeopathy, but also between different professional groups advocating the same
healing system. Some homoeopathic physicians were even accused of helping the police bring homoeopathic lay healers to court. More importantly, in the ordinary health care of the population at large, the new alternative healing systems also seem to have faced an equally intense competition from another quarter. So-called ‘wise’ men and women, scores of healers who used sympathetic healing rituals, sacred or blessed objects, magical words and healing prayers, provided important healing alternatives to official medicine and the new alternative healing systems alike. In 1874 the survey registered 116 healers of this kind, matching exactly that of all irregular alternative healers taken together, but, as contemporary health officials emphasised, physicians were especially unlikely to learn about such practices and the figure may well greatly underestimate their real extent. The number of sympathetic healers grew in the years that followed. Thus, in 1880 we find 187 healers who worked with ‘sympathy and secret remedies’, the latter usually, it seems, of their own making. Among them were 70 women. In 1885 the 274 representatives of this group were more than double the number of irregular alternative healers (132). From then on, their number decreased dramatically, reaching 126 in 1893, but in the early twentieth century health officials still complained vehemently about ‘the wide diffusion’ of sympathetic cures, healing prayers and the like, especially among the rural population. There was no dichotomy then, but, if anything, a trichotomy.

3. The assumption of a dichotomic opposition between official medicine and alternative healing systems is also misleading in regard to the application and appropriation of the various healing methods in the ordinary health care of the wider population. Rather than relying on a single method, many healers took great liberties in combining various approaches, as incompatible as they may seem to us. Some homoeopathic physicians and almost all of the barber surgeons who used homoeopathy frequently resorted to conventional, allopathic treatment as well, or they supplemented the use of homoeopathic remedies with hydropathy and natural healing, galvanism, gymnastics or spiritual healing. This trend towards therapeutic syncretism is even more striking in the case of lay healers. The farmer Sauerwein treated his patients with ‘blessings and magnetism’, while Josef Anton Böck, a former butcher near Memmingen, combined magnetism, sympathetic cures and household remedies. Franz Michael Bandorf, a barber surgeon, treated his patients alternatively by sympathetic means or with electro-homoeopathic
remedies. Farmer Johann Zimmer was an expert in blessings and ‘prayed away’ diseases, but he also resorted to Baunscheidt’s Lebenswecker. Other healers combined electro-homoeopathic remedies with Kneipp’s method or sympathetic healing with massages. In this respect, the traditional historiographic focus on the major protagonists and most fervent followers of the various alternative healing methods proves particularly problematic. While for the ardent adept, conversion to one of the new healing systems frequently assumed quasi-religious connotations, many ordinary healers seem to have taken a much more pragmatic approach, adopting whichever method they found useful and/or profitable.

4. Finally, such patterns of syncretism, as well as the specific characteristics of the more successful alternative healing systems, offer some hints as to which factors were decisive for their respective appeal to the patients. This appeal apparently depended largely on the degree to which the healing systems relied on concepts or practices that were in some way familiar, that ordinary men and women could identify with or that they could at least reinterpret in ways that suited their own ideas and preferences.

In this respect, homoeopathy and electro-homoeopathy clearly stood out. Both healing systems relied primarily on taking drugs, a very familiar – if not routinely expected – part of conventional therapy. Compared to conventional remedies, homoeopathic and electro-homoeopathic remedies had a severe drawback which may have been a major obstacle to their further dissemination. They lacked the visible, drastic, evacuating effects, which many patients, especially in the countryside, were still said to expect. They fitted neatly, however, into a second, well-established therapeutic concept, which also underlay the great popularity of specifics and patent drugs: namely the idea that there were special remedies or herbs with unique curative properties against the various diseases. They did not work by attracting and evacuating morbid matter, but rather thanks to some intrinsic, occult, spirit-like healing power. In this sense homoeopathic and electro-homoeopathic remedies may have seemed particularly appropriate to the practice of the professional experts in the realm of the spiritual and supernatural, the clergy. A look at the characteristic forms of therapeutic syncretism among the clergy is quite revealing. Joseph Lindner, for example, a priest in Erbendorf and president of the Homöopathische Verein für Bayern, used homoeopathy as well as the sympathetic ‘blowing away’ of toothaches. Similarly, Martin Wittmann, who ran a very extensive homoeopathic practice in Strahlfeld, strengthened the curative virtues of his globuli with
prayers or benedictions.\textsuperscript{76} Pietl, another priest, also cured by ‘praying and homoeopathy’,\textsuperscript{77} and a similar combination of homoeopathy with ‘benediction and exorcism’ made patients throng to Franz Anton Stiegeler in Swabia.\textsuperscript{78} Hasenwehr, in the lower Bavarian district of Griesbach, found blessed water from Lourdes a useful supplement to the homoeopathic pharmacopoeia, and in the same manner Josef Eckert used ‘sacred objects’ together with his homoeopathic remedies.\textsuperscript{79}

Baunscheidtism also fitted fairly neatly into a well-established therapeutic paradigm. The manual application of the \textit{Lebenswecker} resembled other forms of somewhat painful therapeutic penetration of the skin, like cupping, scarification and blood-letting. Its main rationale was almost the same as well: it was to draw the \textit{Ungesund}, the morbid matter, the ‘poison’ towards the skin and rid the body of it. The success was tangible in the local inflammation and swelling, which Baunscheidt’s mixture of irritating oils helped promote. Irregular healers and patients alike may have wondered, however, why a costly instrument should be needed, when blood-letting, purgatives or cauteronisation produced an even more copious evacuation of morbid matter.

Until the late nineteenth century, the impact of hydropathy, natural healing, magnetism, hypnosis and galvanism on general health care remained very limited, and the growing popularity of natural healing around 1900 was primarily an urban phenomenon. It was largely restricted to cities such as Augsburg, Ludwigshafen, Nuremberg, Munich, Regensburg or Erlangen,\textsuperscript{80} and their major social basis seems to have been among the typically urban classes. In fact, the signatures which the naturopathic lay associations in various Bavarian towns collected in 1903 against the threat of new licensing regulations came almost exclusively from members of the urban middle class: printers, postmen, railway engineers, teachers, painters, shoemakers, blacksmiths, state employees, merchants, etc.\textsuperscript{81} Maybe their openness to the methods reflected a growing appreciation for ‘the natural’ in the increasingly polluted urban centres. It could also have expressed a protest against the monopolistic attitudes of the medical profession by people who, in contrast to the rural majority, already had considerable personal experience with academic medicine and the ways physicians treated their less affluent patients.

Only Kneippianism from the 1880s also found some followers among rural healers, presumably a reflection of a certain demand among their patients. It is a revealing exception. In contrast to hydropathy and natural healing, Kneipp’s system also relied on herbal medicines, again a very familiar form of therapy, at a time when some rural lay healers still treated
their patients exclusively with the help of an old herbal. There was also a market for new drugs of unknown composition and, in the Palatinate, Kneipp's herbal medicines for a while even became serious competition for the most popular patent drug of the time, the ‘Swiss pills’. The growing importance of magnetic healing from the late nineteenth century was primarily an urban phenomenon. Five out of 10 magnetopaths reported in 1895 were active in the Bavarian capital, and later Nuremberg emerged as a centre. There was a minority of rural magnetopaths, however, with a couple of farmers among them, and the therapeutic syncretism they practised is once again revealing. In contrast to their urban counterparts, they did not exclusively rely on magnetic healing, but combined it with praying, the use of blessed water or sympathetic healing. Jacob Jacob, a former farmer, for example, cured ‘gouts’, ‘rheums’ and diseases from ‘bewitchment’ with magnetism, as well as with blessed water from Lourdes. In other words, a handful of rural magnetopaths seem to have found the method in some way congenial to more traditional religious or magical healing practices.

In a persuasive plea for an anthropologically informed history of early modern mentalities the late Bob Scribner has argued that, ‘Cross-contamination between competing belief-systems seems a more common phenomenon than the reverse.’ Scribner stressed in particular the ‘phenomenon of syncretism’, people’s ability ‘in many situations to appropriate (or rather misappropriate) cultural goods or practices’ and an underlying pragmatism or ‘practical rationality’ in most people’s attitudes to life, by means of which they accepted what seemed to work and did not question too far if this conflicted with the underlying ideological principles on which their ‘world-view’ was built.

From what has emerged in this paper, Scribner’s remarks appear equally pertinent to nineteenth-century medical culture. Medical historians, and historians of alternative medicine in particular, should find it well worthwhile to pay as much attention to the complex processes of appropriation and syncretism in the everyday practice of ordinary healers and patients, as to their theories and works, and to the struggles of the founders and principal protagonists of the various alternative healing systems.
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